



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,830	02/22/2006	Kenneth J Hsu	6661/PCT	3183
6858 7590 12/23/2008 BREINER & BREINER, L.L.C. P.O. BOX 320160 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-0160				
EXAMINER				
HRUSKOCI, PETER A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/23/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/564,830</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> HSU, KENNETH J</p>	
<p><b>Examiner</b> /Peter A. Hruskoci/</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 1797</p>		

**All Participants:**

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) Mary J. Breiner

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 6/23/08*

**Claims discussed:**  
*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Amendments to claim 1 were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record. Mary J. Breiner agreed to submit a supplemental amendment with a new claim including the suggestions, as well as an amended claim 1, for formal consideration.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)